

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KEVIN L. BROWN,

Defendant.

8:20-CR-83

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation ([filing 132](#)) recommending that the Court deny the defendant's motions to dismiss ([filing 78](#) and [filing 129](#)). The defendant has not objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) provides for de novo review of a Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations only when a party objects to them. *Peretz v. United States*, 501 U.S. 923 (1991). Failure to object to a finding of fact in a Magistrate Judge's recommendation may be construed as a waiver of the right to object from the district court's order adopting the recommendation of the finding of fact. [NECrimR 59.2\(e\)](#). The defendant was expressly advised that "[f]ailure to timely object may constitute a waiver of any such objection." [Filing 132 at 4](#). And the failure to file an objection eliminates not only the need for de novo review, but any review by the Court. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Leonard v. Dorsey & Whitney LLP*, 553 F.3d 609 (8th Cir. 2009); see also *United States v. Meyer*, 439 F.3d 855, 858-59 (8th Cir. 2006).


Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that the defendant's motions to dismiss be denied, and any objection is deemed waived.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation ([filing 132](#)) are adopted.
2. The defendant's motions to dismiss ([filing 78](#) and [filing 129](#)) are denied.

Dated this 12th day of March, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

  
John M. Gerrard  
Chief United States District Judge